

Comment

Date May	18,	1998
----------	-----	------

The CALFED Bay-Delta Program welcomes your participation. Please use the space below for your written comments (attach additional sheets if necessary).

Comments:

Whiskey is for drinking, water is for fighting over. We have been fighting over water since the Gold Rush. more people come to California, the fighting will get worse. We have chosen to ship water from where it exists to where we want it. Aong the way we have degraded land and water, as well as the animals dependent upon them and we are some of those animals.

Where has this water been moved? Largely for the benefit of large corporate landowners labelled as farmers. At taxpayer expense water is transported to arid regions for the purpose of raising water-intensive crops on marginal land. In exchange the water is polluted and flushed back into existing waterways to further pollute theirultimate destiny: the Tocean. The rationalization is jobs, but have we calcuthe jobs lost in fisheriesand tourism as water is mooved elsewhere? Do we want jobs at the expense of our future?

Name: <u>Carl McGrew</u>	Would you like to be added to
Organization:	our mailing list?
Address: 16041 Alum Rock Ave.	<u>yes</u> Check Here
San Jose CA 95127	

Please return this form to:

Phone: 408 792-245 Fax: 408 299-4553



For more information, (916) 657-2666 (800) 700-5752 http://calfed.ca.gov

. we ng gi But what is proposed are three option of ditch-diggodcked up by larger dams. At this public hearing, most discussion was around proposal 3, the Son Of Peripheral Canal. Moving water merely postpones the hard choices umust make. The real problems are allocating a scarceres among a greedy and simple populace. We must stop the benefit to the few at the expense of many.

addresses these most acceptable the three proposals is the smallest and 0 t While none ues, proposal S

, W.

6